

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Because of the close relationship between the organic electroluminescent image display apparatus of species I and the organic electroluminescent image display apparatus of species II, both of which species include a substrate, an anode, an organic layer, a conductive layer and cathode layer having optical transparency, it is submitted that Claims 1-20 are appropriate for examination in the present case.

Further, if no prior art is found over which the elected species is rejectable, it is requested that the Examiner extend the search to cover the non-elected species.

Accordingly, for the reasons presented above, it is submitted that the Patent and Trademark Office has failed to meet the burden necessary to sustain the election of species requirement. Withdrawal of the election of species requirement is respectfully requested.


Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
Norman F. Oblon

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220



Roland E. Martin
Registration No. 48,082